	Case 3:19-cv-01353-JCS Document 15	51 Filed 08/25/21 Page 1 of 8
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Carolyn H. Cottrell (SBN 166977) Ori Edelstein (SBN 268145) Michelle S. Lim (SBN 315691) SCHNEIDER WALLACE COTTRELL KONECKY LLP 2000 Powell Street, Suite 1400 Emeryville, California 94608 Telephone: (415) 421-7100 Facsimile: (415) 421-7105 ccottrell@schneiderwallace.com oedelstein@schneiderwallace.com mlim@schneiderwallace.com	
8		
9	UNITED STATES I NORTHERN DISTRI	DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
10		
11 12	DAVID CHAVEZ and VINCENT SLAUGHTER, on behalf of themselves and all	Case No.: 3:19-cv-01353-JCS
13	others similarly situated,	[ <del>PROPOSED</del> ] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
13	Plaintiffs,	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT
15		Date: April 16, 2021
16	STELLAR MANAGEMENT GROUP VII, LLC; STELLAR MANAGEMENT GROUP,	Time: 9:30 a.m. Judge: Hon. Joseph C. Spero
17	INC. d/b/a QSI QUALITY SERVICE INTEGRITY; THE VINCIT COMPANY, LLC	Ctrm.: G, 15 <sup>th</sup> Floor
18	d/b/a THE VINCIT GROUP and VINCIT ENTERPRISES,	Filed: March 13, 2019 Trial Date: None
19	Defendants.	Final Approval Hearing:
20		February 18, 2022 at 9:30 AM Via Zoom webinar
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		ON FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT VII, LLC, et al., Case No. 3 :18-cv-01353-JCS

## Case 3:19-cv-01353-JCS Document 151 Filed 08/25/21 Page 2 of 8

The Motion for Preliminary Approval of Settlement filed by David Chavez and Vincent Slaughter, Plaintiffs in this action (the "Action"), came on for hearing regularly in Courtroom G, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor, of the above captioned court, the Honorable Joseph C. Spero presiding. Defendants in the Action do not oppose the motion.

5 In the operative complaint in the Action, Plaintiffs alleges that Defendants violated federal 6 and California wage and hour laws with respect to current and former non-exempt employees, 7 including Sanitation Workers, who have worked for Defendants. Throughout the relevant time 8 period, Plaintiffs allege that Defendants committed violations as to Plaintiffs and Class and 9 Collective Members by: (1) not paying Class and Collective Members proper minimum and 10 overtime wages for work performed off-the-clock on a daily basis; (2) failing to provide Class and 11 Collective Members with a reasonable opportunity to take meal and rest periods, and failing to 12 compensate Class and Collective Members when such meal and rest periods are not taken; (3) 13 failing to reimburse necessarily-incurred expenses; and (4) failing to issue accurate, itemized wage 14 statements.

After discovery, deposition, and extensive investigation by Plaintiffs' counsel, the Parties entered into private mediation with respected neutral mediator Mark Rudy in an attempt to resolve the claims. As a result of the mediation session on September 24, 2020 and further arm's-length negotiations facilitated by Mr. Rudy, the Parties reached a global settlement that resolves all of the claims in all of the Actions. The Parties then executed a Class Action Settlement Agreement and Release ("Settlement") on March 12, 2021.

A hearing was held before this Court on April 16, 2021 for the purpose of determining, among other things, whether the proposed Settlement is within the range of possible approval, if Notices of the Settlement to Members of the California Class and FLSA Collective Members are appropriate, and whether a formal fairness hearing, also known as a final approval hearing, should be scheduled. Appearing at the hearing was Schneider Wallace Cottrell Konecky LLP on behalf of Plaintiffs, the Collective, and Putative Class and Goodwin Procter LLP on behalf of Defendants Stellar Management Group VII, LLC ("SMGVII"; Stellar Management Group, Inc. d/b/a QSI

28

1

2

3

4

1

Quality Service Integrity ("SMGINC"); and The Vincit Company, LLC d/b/a The Vincit Group
 and Vincit Enterprises ("Vincit") (collectively, "Defendants" or "QSI").

Having reviewed the papers and documents presented, having heard the statements of
counsel, and having considered the matter, the Court HEREBY ORDERS as follows:

5 1. The Court hereby GRANTS preliminary approval of the terms and conditions 6 contained in the Settlement, attached to the Declaration of Carolyn H. Cottrell in support of 7 Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Settlement as **Exhibit 1** [Dkt. No. 134-2]; in the 8 Amendment to Class Action Settlement Agreement and Release, attached to Plaintiffs' 9 Supplemental Briefing Regarding Zoom Civil Minute Order as **Exhibit 1** [Dkt. No. 138-1]; and in 10 the Second Amendment to Class Action Settlement Agreement and Release [Dkt. No.147-1], as 11 to the Class (together, the "Settlement"). The Court preliminarily finds that the terms of the 12 Settlement appear to be within the range of possible approval, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 and applicable law. 13

14 2. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that: (1) the settlement amount is fair and 15 reasonable to the California Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further 16 litigation relating to class certification, liability and damages issues, and potential appeals; (2) 17 significant discovery, investigation, research, and litigation have been conducted such that counsel 18 for the Parties at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions; (3) settlement 19 at this time will avoid substantial costs, delay, and risks that would be presented by the further 20 prosecution of the litigation; and (4) the proposed Settlement has been reached as the result of 21 intensive, serious, and non-collusive negotiations between the Parties. Accordingly, the Court 22 preliminarily finds that the Settlement was entered into in good faith.

3. The Court hereby GRANTS conditional certification of the provisional California
Class, in accordance with the Settlement, for the purposes of this Settlement only. The California
Class is defined as Plaintiffs and all individuals employed by Defendants in non-exempt positions
in the State of California at any time between March 13, 2015 and the date the Court grants
preliminary approval of the Settlement.

28

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT Chavez, et al. v. Stellar Management Group VII, LLC, et al., Case No. 3 :18-cv-01353-JCS 4. The Court hereby GRANTS Approval of the terms and conditions contained in the
 Settlement as to the Collective of Opt In Plaintiffs. The Court finds that the terms of the Settlement
 are within the range of possible approval, pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act and applicable
 law.

5 5. The Court finds that: (1) the settlement amount is fair and reasonable to the Collective 6 Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to class 7 certification, liability and damages issues, and potential appeals; (2) significant discovery, 8 investigation, research, and litigation have been conducted such that counsel for the Parties at this 9 time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions; (3) settlement at this time will avoid 10 substantial costs, delay, and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the 11 litigation; and (4) the proposed Settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, serious, and 12 non-collusive negotiations between the Parties. Accordingly, the Court finds that the Settlement 13 was entered into in good faith.

6. The Court hereby conditionally certifies the Collective. The Collective is defined as
Plaintiff Slaughter, all individuals who prior to the date of the Court's Preliminary Approval Order
have filed a consent form to join this Action, and all additional Collective Members who opt in to
the litigation and consent to the terms of the Settlement by negotiating the check in the gross amount
of his or her Settlement Award.

7. The Court hereby authorizes the retention of Heffler Claims Group as Settlement
Administrator for the purpose of the Settlement, with reasonable administration costs estimated not
to exceed \$85,000.

8. The Court hereby conditionally appoints Schneider Wallace Cottrell Konecky LLP
as Counsel for the Class. The Court hereby conditionally appoints Plaintiffs Chavez and Slaughter
as Class Representatives for the California Class.

9. The Court hereby appoints Schneider Wallace Cottrell Konecky LLP as Counsel for
the Collective. The Court hereby appoints Plaintiff Slaughter as Collective representative for the
Collective.

28

## Case 3:19-cv-01353-JCS Document 151 Filed 08/25/21 Page 5 of 8

10. 1 The Court hereby APPROVES the Notices of Settlement attached to the Second 2 Amendment to Class Action Settlement Agreement and Release as **Exhibit E** and **Exhibit F**. The 3 Court finds that the Notice of Settlement, along with the related notification procedure 4 contemplated by the Settlement, constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances and 5 are in full compliance with the applicable laws and the requirements of due process. The Court 6 further finds that the Notices of Settlement appear to fully and accurately inform the Members of 7 the California Class of all material elements of the proposed Settlement, of their right to be excluded 8 from the Settlement, and of their right and opportunity to object to the Settlement. The Court also 9 finds that the Notice of Settlement appears to fully and accurately inform the Members of the 10 Collective of all material elements of the proposed Settlement.

11 11. The Court hereby authorizes dissemination of the Notice of Settlement to Members
of the California Class and the Collective. Subject to the terms of the Settlement, the Notice of
Settlement shall be mailed via first-class mail to the most recent known address of each Member of
the Class and the Collective within the timeframe specified in the Settlement, and sent via email to
all such persons for whom Defendants have an email address. The Parties may not make changes
to the proposed Notice of Settlement aside from those that are left blank for the Settlement
Administrator to fill in unless approved by this Court.

18 12. The Court hereby APPROVES the proposed procedure for Members of the California 19 Class to request exclusion from the Rule 23 component Settlement, which is to submit a written 20 statement requesting exclusion to the Settlement Administrator during the time period permitted 21 under the Settlement. Any Class Member who submits a written exclusion shall not be a Member 22 of the Class, shall be barred from participating in the Rule 23 component of the Settlement, and 23 shall receive no benefit from the Rule 23 component of the Settlement.

13. The Court further acknowledges that Plaintiff's counsel will submit a request for
attorneys' fees of up to one-third of the Gross Settlement Amount, or \$1,416,666.52, plus their
costs, not to exceed \$50,000. The Court ORDERS that Plaintiffs' counsel file a motion for approval
of attorneys' fees and costs and service awards, at least 35 days before the opt-out deadline.

28

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT Chavez, et al. v. Stellar Management Group VII, LLC, et al., Case No. 3 :18-cv-01353-JCS

## Case 3:19-cv-01353-JCS Document 151 Filed 08/25/21 Page 6 of 8

14. The Court ORDERS that Plaintiff's counsel shall file a motion for final approval of
 the Settlement, with the appropriate declarations and supporting evidence, including a declaration
 setting forth the identity of any California Class Members who request exclusion from the
 Settlement, at least 35 days before the Final Approval Hearing.

5 15. The Court ORDERS that Plaintiff's counsel shall file a motion for approval of the 6 fee and cost award and of the service award to the Class Representative, with the appropriate 7 declarations and supporting evidence, to be heard at the same time as the motion for final approval 8 of the Settlement.

9 16. The Court further ORDERS that each Member of the California Class shall be given 10 a full opportunity to object to the Rule 23 component of the proposed Settlement and request for 11 attorneys' fees, and to participate at a Final Approval Hearing, which the Court sets to commence 12 on <u>February 18, 2022</u> at 9:30 a.m. remotely via the online platform Zoom, https://cand-13 uscourts.zoomgov.com/j/1619260804?pwd=RE5qWDhGOTdWWTZUOFlOKzhNc3pjZz09,

Webinar ID: 161 926 0804, Password: 050855, Dial in: US: +1 (669) 254-5252 or +1 (646) 828-7666, International numbers: https://cand-uscourts.zoomgov.com/u/advFLxrTkx.\* Any Class Member seeking to object to the proposed Settlement may do so by filing such objection in writing with the Court and serving such objection on Plaintiffs' counsel and Defendants' counsel, and do not waive their right to object at the Final Approval Hearing by failing to submit a written objection.

19

17. Accordingly, GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, the Court hereby APPROVES the

20 proposed Notices of Settlement and adopts the following dates and deadlines:

21	Deadline for Defendants to pay the Gross Settlement Amount in the QSF	Within 10 business days after Preliminary Approval Order	
22	Deadline for Defendants to provide Heffler with the Class List	Within 15 business days after the Court's preliminary approval of the Settlement	
23 24	Deadline for Heffler to mail the Notice of Settlement to Class Members	Within 10 business days after Heffler receives the Class List	
25	Deadline for Rule 23 Class Members to postmark requests to opt-out or file objections to the Settlement ("Notice Deadline")	65 days after Notice of Settlement are mailed	
26 27	Deadline for Heffler to provide all counsel with a report showing (i) the names of Rule 23 Class Members and Opt In Plaintiffs; (ii) the	Within 30 calendar days after the opt out/objection deadline (the "Notice Deadline")	
28	* Instructions to access the hearing via Zoom are also available		
	https://cand.uscourts.gov/judges/spero-joseph-c-jcs/ [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMEN Chavez, et al. v. Stellar Management Group VII, LLC, et al., Case No. 3:18-cv-01353-JCS		

Individual Settlement Payments owed to each Rule 23 Class Member and Opt In Plaintiff; (iii) the final number of Rule 23 Class Members	
who have submitted objections or valid letters requesting exclusion from the Settlement; and (iv) the number of undeliverable Notices of Settlement.	
Deadline for Plaintiffs' counsel to file a motion for approval of attorneys' fees and costs and service awards	At least 35 days before the Notice deadline
Deadline for filing of Final Approval Motion	At least 35 days before Final Approval Hearing
Deadline for Heffler to provide the Court and all Parties' counsel with a statement detailing the Settlement Administration Costs and the notice administration process	As soon as practicable
Final Approval Hearing: Feb. 18, 2022	At least 30 days after Notice Deadline
Effective Date	The latest of the following dates: (i) if there are one or more objections to the settlement that are not subsequently withdrawn, then the date after the expiration of time for filing a notice of appeal of the Court's Fina Approval Order, assuming no appeal or request for review has been filed; (ii) if there is a timely objection and appeal by one or more objectors, then the date after such appeal or appeals are terminated (including any requests for rehearing) resulting in the final judicial approval of the Settlement; or (iii) if there are no timely objections to the settlement, or if one or more objections were filed but subsequently withdrawn before the date of Final
	Approval, then the first business day after the Court's order granting Final Approval of the Settlement is entered
Deadline for Heffler to calculate the employer share of taxes and provide Defendants with the total amount of Defendants' Payroll Taxes	Within 5 business days after final Settlement Award calculations are approved
Deadline for Heffler to make payments under the Settlement to Participating Individuals, the LWDA, Class Representatives, Plaintiffs' counsel, and itself	Within 30 days after the Effective Date or as soon as reasonably practicable
Check-cashing deadline	180 days after issuance
Deadline for Heffler to tender uncashed check funds to cy pres recipient Legal Aid at Work or redistribute such uncashed funds to Participating Individuals who cashed their Settlement Award	As soon as practicable after check-cashing deadline

## Case 3:19-cv-01353-JCS Document 151 Filed 08/25/21 Page 8 of 8

Deadline for Heffler to provide written certification of completion of administration of the Settlement to counsel for all Parties and the

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

Court

1

18. The Court further ORDERS that, pending further order of this Court, all proceedings in the Actions, except those contemplated herein and in the Settlement, are stayed, and all deadlines are vacated.

Within 21 business days after the

distribution of any uncashed funds

6 19. If for any reason the Court does not execute and file a Final Approval Order and Judgment, the proposed Settlement subject to this Order and all evidence and proceedings had in 8 connection with the Settlement shall be null and void.

20. The Court may, for good cause, extend any of the deadlines set forth in this Order or adjourn or continue the final approval hearing without further notice to the Class.

11	TS DISTRIC
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.
13	
14	Dated: August 25, 2021
15	JOSEP UNITE IREJUDGE
16	Z Judge Joseph C. Spero
17	
18	THERN DISTRICT OF CON
19	SISTRIC I
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT Chavez, et al. v. Stellar Management Group VII, LLC, et al., Case No. 3 :18-cv-01353-JCS