1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Carolyn H. Cottrell (SBN 166977) Ori Edelstein (SBN 268145) Michelle S. Lim (SBN 315691) SCHNEIDER WALLACE COTTRELL KONECKY LLP 2000 Powell Street, Suite 1400 Emeryville, California 94608 Telephone: (415) 421-7100 Facsimile: (415) 421-7105 ccottrell@schneiderwallace.com oedelstein@schneiderwallace.com mlim@schneiderwallace.com	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs, and the Putative Class and Collective	
9		
10	UNITED STATES I NORTHERN DISTRI	
11		
12	DAVID CHAVEZ and VINCENT	Case No.: 3:19-cv-01353-JCS
13	SLAUGHTER, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	[PROPOSED] AMENDED ORDER
14	Plaintiffs,	GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT
15	VS.	Filed: March 13, 2019 Trial Date: None
16	STELLAR MANAGEMENT GROUP VII, LLC; STELLAR MANAGEMENT GROUP,	
17	INC. d/b/a QSI QUALITY SERVICE INTEGRITY; THE VINCIT COMPANY, LLC	
18	d/b/a THE VINCIT GROUP and VINCIT	Final Approval Hearing: March 18, 2022 at 9:30 AM
19	ENTERPRISES,	Via Zoom Webinar
20	Defendants.	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

8 9

7

11 12

10

14 15

13

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

The Motion for Preliminary Approval of Settlement filed by David Chavez and Vincent Slaughter, Plaintiffs in this action (the "Action"), came on for hearing regularly in Courtroom G, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor, of the above captioned court, the Honorable Joseph C. Spero presiding. Defendants in the Action do not oppose the motion. Good cause appearing, the Court granted Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of the Class Settlement on August 25, 2021. Thereafter, the parties submitted supplemental information showing good cause to amend the Court's Order Granting Preliminary Approval of the Class Action Settlement, including an Third Amendment to Class Action Settlement and Release (the "Amended Settlement Agreement"). The Court hereby amends its prior order and makes the following findings and orders:

In the operative complaint in the Action, Plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated federal and California wage and hour laws with respect to current and former non-exempt employees, including Sanitation Workers, who have worked for Defendants. Throughout the relevant time period, Plaintiffs allege that Defendants committed violations as to Plaintiffs and Class and Collective Members by: (1) not paying Class and Collective Members proper minimum and overtime wages for work performed off-the-clock on a daily basis; (2) failing to provide Class and Collective Members with a reasonable opportunity to take meal and rest periods, and failing to compensate Class and Collective Members when such meal and rest periods are not taken; (3) failing to reimburse necessarily-incurred expenses; and (4) failing to issue accurate, itemized wage statements.

After discovery, deposition, and extensive investigation by Plaintiffs' counsel, the Parties entered into private mediation with respected neutral mediator Mark Rudy in an attempt to resolve the claims. As a result of the mediation session on September 24, 2020 and further arm's-length negotiations facilitated by Mr. Rudy, the Parties reached a global settlement that resolves all of the claims in all of the Actions. The Parties then executed a Class Action Settlement Agreement and Release ("Settlement") on March 12, 2021.

A hearing was held before this Court on April 16, 2021 for the purpose of determining, among other things, whether the proposed Settlement is within the range of possible approval, if

1 Notices of the Settlement to Members of the California Class and FLSA Collective Members are 2 3 4 5 6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

appropriate, and whether a formal fairness hearing, also known as a final approval hearing, should be scheduled. Appearing at the hearing was Schneider Wallace Cottrell Konecky LLP on behalf of Plaintiffs, the Collective, and Putative Class and Goodwin Procter LLP on behalf of Defendants Stellar Management Group VII, LLC ("SMGVII"; Stellar Management Group, Inc. d/b/a QSI Quality Service Integrity ("SMGINC"); and The Vincit Company, LLC d/b/a The Vincit Group and Vincit Enterprises ("Vincit") (collectively, "Defendants" or "QSI").

Having reviewed the papers and documents presented, having heard the statements of counsel, and having considered the matter, the Court HEREBY ORDERS as follows:

- 1. The Court hereby GRANTS preliminary approval of the terms and conditions contained in the Settlement, attached to the Declaration of Carolyn H. Cottrell in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Settlement as Exhibit 1, and in the First, Second, and Third Amendments to Class Action Settlement Agreement and Release [Dkt. Nos. 134-2, 138, 147-1, and 153-1], as to the Class (together, the "Settlement"). The Court preliminarily finds that the terms of the Settlement appear to be within the range of possible approval, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 and applicable law.
- The Court finds on a preliminary basis that: (1) the settlement amount is fair and reasonable to the California Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to class certification, liability and damages issues, and potential appeals; (2) significant discovery, investigation, research, and litigation have been conducted such that counsel for the Parties at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions; (3) settlement at this time will avoid substantial costs, delay, and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the litigation; and (4) the proposed Settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive negotiations between the Parties. Accordingly, the Court preliminarily finds that the Settlement was entered into in good faith.
- The Court hereby GRANTS conditional certification of the provisional California 3. Class, in accordance with the Settlement, for the purposes of this Settlement only. The California

4.

was entered into in good faith.

Class is defined as Plaintiffs and all individuals employed by Defendants in a Covered Position in the State of California at any time between March 13, 2015 through and including December 31, 2020.

The Court hereby GRANTS Approval of the terms and conditions contained in the

- - Settlement as to the Collective of Opt In Plaintiffs. The Court finds that the terms of the Settlement are within the range of possible approval, pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act and applicable law.

5. The Court finds that: (1) the settlement amount is fair and reasonable to the Collective Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to class certification, liability and damages issues, and potential appeals; (2) significant discovery, investigation, research, and litigation have been conducted such that counsel for the Parties at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions; (3) settlement at this time will avoid substantial costs, delay, and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the litigation; and (4) the proposed Settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive negotiations between the Parties. Accordingly, the Court finds that the Settlement

6. The Court hereby conditionally certifies the Collective. The Collective is defined as Plaintiff Slaughter, all individuals who prior to the date of the Court's Preliminary Approval Order have filed a consent form to join this Action, and all additional Collective Members who opt in to the litigation and consent to the terms of the Settlement by negotiating the check in the gross amount of his or her Settlement Award.

7. The Court hereby authorizes the retention of Heffler Claims Group as Settlement Administrator for the purpose of the Settlement, with reasonable administration costs estimated not to exceed \$85,000.

8. The Court hereby conditionally appoints Schneider Wallace Cottrell Konecky LLP as Counsel for the Class. The Court hereby conditionally appoints Plaintiffs Chavez and Slaughter as Class Representatives for the California Class.

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

- 9. The Court hereby appoints Schneider Wallace Cottrell Konecky LLP as Counsel for the Collective. The Court hereby appoints Plaintiff Slaughter as Collective representative for the Collective.
- 10. The Court hereby APPROVES the Notices of Settlement attached to the Third Amendment to Class Action Settlement Agreement and Release as Exhibit G and Exhibit H. The Court finds that the Notice of Settlement, along with the related notification procedure contemplated by the Settlement, constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances and are in full compliance with the applicable laws and the requirements of due process. The Court further finds that the Notices of Settlement appear to fully and accurately inform the Members of the California Class of all material elements of the proposed Settlement, of their right to be excluded from the Settlement, and of their right and opportunity to object to the Settlement. The Court also finds that the Notice of Settlement appears to fully and accurately inform the Members of the Collective of all material elements of the proposed Settlement.
- 11. The Court hereby authorizes dissemination of the Notice of Settlement to Members of the California Class and the Collective. Subject to the terms of the Settlement, the Notice of Settlement shall be mailed via first-class mail to the most recent known address of each Member of the Class and the Collective within the timeframe specified in the Settlement, and sent via email to all such persons for whom Defendants have an email address. The Parties may not make changes to the proposed Notice of Settlement aside from those that are left blank for the Settlement Administrator to fill in unless approved by this Court.
- 12. The Court hereby APPROVES the proposed procedure for Members of the California Class to request exclusion from the Rule 23 component Settlement, which is to submit a written statement requesting exclusion to the Settlement Administrator during the time period permitted under the Settlement. Any Class Member who submits a written exclusion shall not be a Member of the Class, shall be barred from participating in the Rule 23 component of the Settlement, and shall receive no benefit from the Rule 23 component of the Settlement.
  - 13. The Court further acknowledges that Plaintiff's counsel will submit a request for

attorneys' fees of up to one-third of the Gross Settlement Amount, or \$1,416,666.52, plus their costs, not to exceed \$50,000. The Court ORDERS that Plaintiffs' counsel file a motion for approval of attorneys' fees and costs and service awards, at least 35 days before the opt-out deadline.

- 14. The Court ORDERS that Plaintiff's counsel shall file a motion for final approval of the Settlement, with the appropriate declarations and supporting evidence, including a declaration setting forth the identity of any California Class Members who request exclusion from the Settlement, at least 35 days before the Final Approval Hearing.
- 15. The Court ORDERS that Plaintiff's counsel shall file a motion for approval of the fee and cost award and of the service award to the Class Representative, with the appropriate declarations and supporting evidence, to be heard at the same time as the motion for final approval of the Settlement.
- 16. The Court ORDERS that each Member of the California Class shall be given a full opportunity to object to the Rule 23 component of the proposed Settlement and request for attorneys' fees, and to participate at a Final Approval Hearing. The Court VACATES the previously-set Final Approval Hearing of February 18, 2022, and sets the Final Approval Hearing to commence on <a href="March 18">March 18</a>, 2022 at 9:30 a.m. remotely via the online platform Zoom, https://cand-
- uscourts.zoomgov.com/j/1619260804?pwd=RE5qWDhGOTdWWTZUOFlOKzhNc3pjZz09,
- Webinar ID: 161 926 0804, Password: 050855, Dial in: US: +1 (669) 254-5252 or +1 (646) 828-7666, International numbers: https://cand-uscourts.zoomgov.com/u/advFLxrTkx. Any Class Member seeking to object to the proposed Settlement may do so by filing such objection in writing with the Court and serving such objection on Plaintiffs' counsel and Defendants' counsel, and do
- not waive their right to object at the Final Approval Hearing by failing to submit a written objection.
- 17. Accordingly, GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, the Court hereby APPROVES the proposed Notices of Settlement and adopts the following dates and deadlines:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Instructions to access the hearing via Zoom are also available at https://cand.uscourts.gov/judges/spero-joseph-c-jcs/.

Within 15 business days after the date of this order
Within 10 business days after Heffler receives the Class List
65 days after Notice of Settlement are mailed
Within 30 calendar days after the opt out/objection deadline (the "Notice Deadline")
At least 35 days before the Notice deadline
At least 35 days before Final Approval Hearing
As soon as practicable
March 18, 2022 at 9:30 AM
The latest of the following dates: (i) if there are one or more objections to the settlement that are not subsequently withdrawn, then the date after the expiration of time for filing a notice of appeal of the Court's Final Approval Order, assuming no appeal or request for review has been filed; (ii) if there is a timely objection and appeal by one or more objectors, then the date after such appeal or appeals are terminated (including any requests for rehearing) resulting in the final judicial approval of the Settlement; or (iii) if there are no timely objections to the settlement, or if one or more objections were filed but subsequently withdrawn before the date of Final Approval, then the first business day after the Court's order granting Final Approval of the Settlement is entered
Within 5 business days after final Settlement Award calculations are approved

1	Deadline for Heffler to make payments under the Settlement to Participating Individuals, the	Within 30 days after the Effective Date or as soon as reasonably practicable
2	LWDA, Class Representatives, Plaintiffs' counsel, and itself	
3	Check-cashing deadline	180 days after issuance
4	Deadline for Heffler to tender uncashed check funds to cy pres recipient Legal Aid at Work or	As soon as practicable after check-cashing deadline
5	redistribute such uncashed funds to Participating Individuals who cashed their Settlement Award	
6	checks	
7	Deadline for Heffler to provide written certification of completion of administration of the Settlement to counsel for all Parties and the	Within 21 business days after the distribution of any uncashed funds
8	Court	
9	18. The Court further ORDERS that, pending further order of this Court, all proceedings	
10	in the Actions, except those contemplated herein and in the Settlement, are stayed, and all deadlines	
11	are vacated.	
12	19. If for any reason the Court does not execute and file a Final Approval Order and	

- Judgment, the proposed Settlement subject to this Order and all evidence and proceedings had in connection with the Settlement shall be null and void.
- 20. The Court may, for good cause, extend any of the deadlines set forth in this Order or adjourn or continue the final approval hearing without further notice to the Class.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 27, 2021

EPH C. SPERO UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE **CHIEF MAGISTRATE** 

CA

26

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27