Case 3:19-cv-01353-JCS Document 155-2 Filed 11/18/21 Page 1 of 7

I, David Chavez, hereby declare as follows:

- 1. I am a Named Plaintiff in *Chavez, et al. v. Stellar Management Group VII, LLC, et al.*, pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.
- 2. I am over the age of eighteen. The following statements are based on my personal knowledge. If called on to do so, I could and would testify competently to these statements.
- 3. I submit this declaration in support of Plaintiff's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs and for Service Award in this Action.
- 4. I was employed by Stellar Management Group VII, LLC; Stellar Management Group, Inc. d/b/a QSI Quality Service Integrity; and The Vincit Company, LLC d/b/a The Vincit Group and Vincit Enterprises (collectively, "QSI") in approximately August 2018. I worked for QSI as a Sanitation Worker at the Foster Farms plant in Livingston, California. In this role, I performed many tasks like putting on/taking off protective equipment, convening for safety meetings at the start of each shift, cleaning off and sanitizing poultry equipment, preparing and spraying liquid chemicals, performing regular maintenance on sanitation equipment, preparing the facility for audits by federal and state agencies, practicing food safety procedures, and ultimately protecting the brand image of meat processing companies.
- 5. I was required to work long hours for QSI. However, I was not paid for all of the hours that I actually worked. For example, I had to put on or take off my personal protective equipment while I was off-the-clock. I was required to walk from a specified parking lot each day to a security gate, wait in long lines to have my belongings inspected, and then drop off my personal belongings at a designated break room while I was off-the-clock, and repeat these tasks in reverse order at the end of the day. I was also required to clean and sanitize my own personal protective equipment regularly as well. I was further regularly unable to take meal and rest breaks, because I was interrupted to continue working, to take off or put on my personal protective equipment during the breaks, and/or I was provided late breaks.
- 6. As a result of these issues, I believed that I experienced wage violations and meal and rest break violations. I knew that my co-workers had similar experiences. I wanted to do

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26

28

27

something about these issues for all of us, so I decided to contact an attorney. Schneider Wallace Cottrell Konecky LLP are my attorneys in this case.

- 7. I first reached out to Schneider Wallace Cottrell Konecky LLP in August 2018. Prior to filing the case, I had multiple telephonic interviews with my attorneys regarding the case and my work experiences with QSI. I have been involved with this case for almost three years.
- 8. I knew that there was no guarantee that we would be successful in this case, and that there was a significant chance of protracted litigation. I understood I could potentially be liable for fees and costs, and that there was a risk that some of the claims could be compelled to arbitration. I also understood that I could have to spend a significant amount of time in the discovery process.
- 9. Additionally, I realized that my name would be on a class and representative action, and that this information could be visible to others. This is particularly significant for me, because I knew that employers may refuse to hire me based on my involvement in this Action.
- 10. Nonetheless, I felt strongly that QSI had unlawful wage and hour policies. Therefore, I decided to proceed as a representative plaintiff and file a lawsuit. I understood that I would serve as the Named Plaintiff, the representative of a proposed class of California workers, and the representative employee for claims under the California Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA").
- 11. After learning about the duties and procedures involved with representing the interests of the Class, I decided I wanted to serve as a Named Plaintiff and Class representative. Being a Named Plaintiff and Class representative was no small task. It was a major time commitment and demanded my attention and focus. Although I knew being a class representative was a serious and time-consuming commitment, I felt a sense of duty to represent my co-workers who faced the same difficulties at QSI that I did, but were too afraid to challenge QSI's practices.
- 12. I worked with my attorneys to prepare the initial class and representative action complaint. I supplied large amounts of information and documents to my attorneys to assist with the drafting process. I reviewed a draft of the complaint carefully and provided my attorneys with

input. We filed the case on around March 13, 2019, in federal court, and on March 14, 2019, in California Superior Court of Sonoma County.

- 13. I estimate that I devoted around 4 hours to the Action during the initial interviews with my attorneys and the complaint-drafting process.
- 14. Around March 2020, QSI asked me to respond to multiple questions and sets of written discovery requests. The requests were lengthy and required hours out of my personal time to discuss them with my attorneys, diligently search for responsive documents, and carefully verify that all my answers were true. My attorneys submitted my responses and my amended responses in around April 2020 and June 2020.
- 15. I estimate that I spent at about 8 hours carefully reviewing the discovery requests, discussing the requests with my attorneys, compiling responsive documents, and answering and verifying my answers.
- 16. We eventually mediated this dispute on around September 24, 2020. I cleared my schedule and made myself available for the mediation, but the case did not settle at that time.
- 17. I estimate that I spent approximately 2 or 3 hours on discussions with my attorneys regarding the mediation.
- 18. After mediation, I was in regular contact with my attorneys regarding the ongoing negotiations with QSI and the Settlement that we eventually reached in around October 2020.
- 19. I estimate that I spent approximately 15 to 20 hours on discussions with my attorneys immediately following the mediation.
- 20. After the proposed Settlement was reached, I carefully reviewed the terms of the proposed document. The Settlement is a lengthy document, and I reviewed it closely. I discussed it with my attorneys. I spent time evaluating the proposed outcome to assure that it was fair. Based on my own review and my attorneys' evaluation and recommendation, I believe the Settlement is fair and reasonable and proposed Service Award is fair and reasonable. I signed the Settlement on around March 12, 2021.

- 21. In around April 2021, I knew the Court ordered the Parties to submit additional documents regarding the Settlement and that subsequent negotiations with QSI's counsel were ensuing to resolve any issues with the Settlement. After the proposed terms of the first Amendment to the Settlement were reached, I carefully reviewed the terms of the proposed document. The first Amendment was also a lengthy document, but I reviewed it closely and discussed it with my attorneys. Based on my own review and my attorneys' evaluation and recommendation, I believed the Settlement to be fair and reasonable, and signed the first Amendment on around May 6, 2021.
- 22. In around July 2021, I knew that the Court had further concerns regarding the Settlement and that subsequent negotiations with QSI's counsel were re-started to resolve the remaining issues with the Settlement. After the proposed terms of the second Amendment to the Settlement were reached, I, again, carefully reviewed and discussed with my attorneys about the terms of the proposed document which was just as lengthy as the first Amendment. Based on my own review and my attorneys' evaluation and recommendation, I believed the Settlement to be fair and reasonable, and signed the second Amendment on around July 30, 2021.
- 23. Finally, around September 2021, QSI raised concerns regarding individuals who were inadvertently left in the Settlement due to QSI's corporate restructuring. After the proposed terms of the third Amendment to the Settlement was reached, I, for the last time, carefully reviewed and discussed with my attorneys about the terms of the proposed document which fairly lengthy as well. Based on my own review and my attorneys' evaluation and recommendation, I believed the Settlement to be fair and reasonable, and signed the third Amendment on around September 17, 2021.
- 24. I estimate that I spent at about 30 hours carefully reviewing the Settlement and its three Amendments and discussing the terms with my attorneys.
- 25. Throughout this action, I have been in regular communication with my attorneys to keep apprised of the status of the litigation. I have kept up-to-date on the status at every phase. I

estimate that I have spent approximately 30 to 40 over the years on phone calls and emails regarding status updates with my attorneys.

- 26. In sum, I worked with my attorneys to prepare the complaints against QSI; strategized the assertion of claims and theories; spent hours assembling, discussing, and reviewing documents; participated actively in the mediation process and settlement decisions; and otherwise remained in constant contact with my attorneys. I have been involved with this litigation for almost three years, and during that time I have contributed at least 89 hours of my own time to the prosecution of these claims.
- 27. By participating in this case as a Plaintiff, I placed myself at personal risk. For example, I faced: (a) risk to my reputation in my community as a result of stepping forward publicly in a class action; (b) risk to my reputation as it concerns my future employment opportunities; (c) risk that I would be subject to intrusive discovery and time demands in this litigation; and (d) risk that I would have to pay defense costs if I lost this case.
- 28. These risks are front and center for me because this lawsuit may be visible to future employers.
- 29. Nevertheless, I chose to face these risks to challenge the way that QSI treated its employees on behalf of everyone in the California Class and the Aggrieved Employees who I believed suffered similar violations of wage and hour law.
- 30. I understand that under the Settlement, I would be releasing much broader claims under a general release than other Class members. But I am willing to agree to this broader release because I know it helped the parties reach a compromise that I believe is a great result for the Class members I represent. I took my role as Class representative to heart, and took my duties as Class representative very seriously. Although I was concerned that my participation in this case could result in negative personal or employment consequences, that did not stop me from stepping forward as a representative and named plaintiff to help my fellow Class members who went through the same struggles I did at QSI.

1	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is		
2	true and correct. Executed on	11 / 18 / 2021	, in Winton, California.
3			
4		David Chavez	
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			